Mechanisms of Atrial Fibrillation and Their Impact on Strategies for Catheter Ablation
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Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained arrhythmia, and is ubiquitous in clinical practice. The underlying mechanisms of initiation and maintenance of AF are complex and not completely understood. This knowledge, however, is fundamental for the development of treatment strategies for AF. Within the last 20 years, catheter ablation has played an increasing role as a rhythm control therapy. Based on diverse models for the initiation and maintenance of AF, various ablation strategies have been proposed. The cornerstone of AF ablation has been pulmonary vein isolation (PVI). In persistent AF, however, PVI alone is often not sufficient. This may be because of the structural remodelling of the atria leading to dilation and fibrosis amongst other factors. The optimal strategy for substrate modification, however, is still a matter of investigation. Current studies are concentrating on the ablation of fibrotic areas, especially in the left atrium, either detected by delayed enhancement magnetic resonance imaging or by identification of low-voltage areas as a surrogate marker. The second intensely evaluated strategy is the localisation and ablation of rotational activity. Many further randomised controlled trials will likely be needed to determine the optimal ablation strategy for individual patients.

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is one of the most frequent arrhythmias worldwide, and, despite progress in the management of patients with AF, remains one of the major causes of stroke, heart failure, sudden death, and cardiovascular morbidity.1 The incidence and prevalence of AF is still increasing with higher numbers in developed countries, which is probably caused by better detection of silent AF, increasing population age, and increasing prevalence of predisposing factors.2–4 For 2030, a prevalence of 14–17 million patients with AF is estimated for the European Union, with 120,000–215,000 newly diagnosed patients per year.5–7 Some of the predisposing factors for AF are increasing age, hypertension, heart failure, coronary artery disease, valvular heart disease, obesity, diabetes mellitus, hyperthyroidism, obstructive sleep apnoea and chronic kidney disease.2–13 AF is independently associated with a twofold increased risk of all-cause mortality in women and a 1.5-fold increase in men.14–15 While the risk of death due to stroke can effectively be mitigated by anticoagulation or left atrial appendage occlusion, death due to heart failure or subsequent cardiac and extra cardiac complications remains a problem even in patients treated according to current scientific evidence. Many patients suffer from relevant symptoms ranging from palpitations to anxiety and dyspnoea. The mechanisms of AF are still not completely understood; however, within recent years, considerable progress has been made evaluating the genetic predisposition of AF, the remodelling of atrial structure and ion channel function, and electrophysiological mechanisms of AF. The latter have influenced strategies of catheter ablation, which has become increasingly important as a rhythm control therapy, and which has been established as an option of first-line therapy in selected patients with AF.1

In this article, recent progress in understanding the pathophysiology of AF will be summarised with an emphasis on electrophysiological mechanisms and their influence on catheter ablation strategies of AF will be described.

Structural remodelling of the atria
AF can be classified as:
• paroxysmal AF, with spontaneously terminating episodes of not more than 7 days;
• persistent AF, with episodes of more than 7 days or the requirement of cardioversion; and
• permanent AF, which is accepted as a constant arrhythmia without any attempts to restore or maintain sinus rhythm.

Persistent AF with a continuous episode of more than 1 year is called long-standing persistent AF.
The degree of structural remodelling of the atria does not necessarily correlate with this classification. The main changes seen are progressive dilatation and fibrosis of the atria. Remodelling can be caused by external stressors like structural heart disease, hypertension, and possibly diabetes, but also by AF itself. Activation of fibroblasts leads to fibrosis, but inflammatory infiltrates, fatty infiltration, myocyte hypertrophy, necrosis, and amyloidosis are also found in patients with predisposing factors leading to AF. Structural remodelling of the atria results in electrical dissociation between muscle bundles and local conduction heterogeneities leading to re-entry and perpetuating of the arrhythmia.

Electrophysiological mechanisms of atrial fibrillation

There are two major principles which have gained acceptance; firstly, factors triggering AF and secondly, factors that perpetuate AF.

Focal initiation of atrial fibrillation

In 1998, Michel Haïssaguerre and co-workers published their fundamental observation that pulmonary veins (PVs) are an important source of ectopic beats, initiating frequent paroxysms of AF. They described that radiofrequency (RF) ablation of such drivers can be used to successfully treat AF. Such rapidly firing foci were mainly localised in the myocardial sleeves, muscle fibers near the ostia of the PV. The mechanism of focal activity might involve both triggered activity and localised re-entry. Atrial muscle fibres are oriented in different directions. Abrupt changes in fibre orientation due to mechanical factors can favour re-entry. Triggered activity and abnormal automaticity, the second mechanism of focal activity in the PVs, promote rapid focal firing. Although the PVs are the most common sites for ectopic focal triggers, there are also non-PV triggers arising from different parts of the atria.

Maintenance of atrial fibrillation

The multiple wavelets hypothesis

In 1959, Moe and Abildskov described a multiple wavelet hypothesis. According to this theory, these wavelets can sustain AF as long as the number of wavefronts does not decline below a critical level in an appropriate atrial substrate concerning the combination of atrial size and mass, conduction velocity and tissue refractory period. This hypothesis was supported by a computer model of AF in which propagation of multiple atrial impulses demonstrated self-perpetuating activity with many similarities to clinical AF in humans. The authors observed that a decline of the number of wavelets below a critical value would lead to termination of AF. Allessie et al. supported this model by providing experimental evidence from a canine heart model in 1985. Based on this theory, Cox et al. mapped multiple re-entrant atrial wavefronts during AF episodes in humans. This was the basis for the development of the surgical maze procedure, creating small electrically isolated atrial compartments by cutting and sewing atrial tissue to prevent sustained re-entry. Meanwhile it has been accepted that separate mechanisms may be responsible for triggering and sustaining AF. Localised sources, for example foci in the PV, can initiate AF. AF maintenance, however, probably involves some form of re-entrant activity caused by a wavebreak of the main re-entrant wavefront into multiple chaotic daughter wavelets because of inhomogeneity in atrial structure, refractoriness and conduction velocity.

Functional re-entry and the leading circle model

The leading circle model described by Allessie et al. can be regarded as the simplest form of functional re-entry. In this model, circus movement of a unidirectional wavefront results in constant centripetal activation of the center rendering it continuously refractory. This refractory area in the center of the circuit forms a functional barrier for electrical conduction which can sustain re-entry like a fixed anatomic barrier, such as a scar. In the leading circle model, unidirectional block in tissue allows an impulse to start circus movement in one direction, with the impulse simultaneously spreading radially outwards to activate the adjacent myocardium and radially inwards towards the center of the circuit. The leading circle is the smallest circuit that can sustain re-entry. If the circuit was smaller, the wavefront would hit refractory tissue and therefore block and terminate. The smallest possible circuit rotates with the highest frequency and will overdrive and suppress all larger circuits. A core of refractory tissue will remain in the center of this circuit.

The number of re-entrant circuits that can be sustained depends on wavelength and atrial size. Large atria and small re-entrant circuits allow multiple re-entrant circuits, increasing the probability of sustaining AF. In contrast, in small atria or those surgically partitioned after maze procedure with large re-entrant circuits, maintenance of re-entry and AF is unlikely. Recent experimental data, however, have suggested that despite the importance of functional re-entry in initiating and sustaining AF, it is significantly more complex than described in the leading circle model with multiple simultaneous wavelets.

Functional re-entry due to rotors or spiral waves

Based on several theoretical studies, it was postulated that rotors generating spiral waves, rather than multiple randomly propagating wavelets, could be the underlying cause of AF. In 1990, Davidenko et al. first demonstrated such a spiral wave in an isolated sheep ventricular muscle slice. Rotors, or spiral waves, describe a specific type of functional re-entry. The wavefront has a curved or spiral form (Figure 1) instead of being circular. Wavefront and wavelet meet at a focal point called phase singularity (PS). Unlike in the leading circle model, the wavefront velocity in a rotor is not constant. The wavefront near the PS is the region of the highest curvature and therefore of the slowest wavefront conduction velocity. This has the effect that a tissue core in the centre of the rotor cannot be invaded by...
the wavefront and is effectively unexcitable. But unlike the leading circle model, the core is not truly refractory. Because of this difference, rotors can move through space, in contrast to re-entrant circuits in the leading circle model. The variable curvature of a rotor establishes a gradient of conduction velocity and gradients of action potential duration and wavelength which rise with increasing distance from the PS. This results in a gradient of excitable gap and heterogeneous conduction properties following the spiral shape of the rotor and influencing its behaviour. Rotors form when a wavefront interacts with a barrier which can either be structural, like a scar, or functional, like a myocardial electrical inhomogeneity or anisotropy. When the wavefront passes through a barrier, it can bend and break into two daughter wavelets by a process called vortex shedding. These daughter wavelets can rotate around a PS and form stable rotors in a certain place, like around a PV or in areas of heterogeneous atrial tissue. When the rotating wavefront spreads away from PS and core, it can interact with other areas of functional inhomogeneity and induce disorganised fibrillatory waves which then induce the chaotic atrial activation associated with AF.

There has been evidence for rotors and other high frequency sources as drivers of AF from some mainly experimental studies. The strongest clinical evidence for high-frequency rotors and focal drivers of sinus rhythm without antiarrhythmic drugs (relative risk [RR] 1.17, 95% CI 1.05–1.31) has been reported from the work by Narayan et al. about the mapping and ablation of focal impulses and rotors.

Complex fractionated atrial electrograms
Complex fractionated atrial electrograms (CFAEs), and their role in the maintenance of AF, have been discussed for many years. They can be caused by multiple mechanisms, but in general represent areas of the myocardium with separated or disorganised myocardial fibres causing slowed, dysynchronous, and/or anisotropic local conduction. In human AF it has been proposed that CFAEs may be caused by local collision of multiple wavelets, zones of slow conduction, local re-entry, areas near high frequency sites where wavebreak and fibrillatory conduction occur or by direct autonomic innervations. It has been assumed that these fractionated sites are important in sustaining AF, and that targeting CFAEs with ablation can terminate AF and prevent recurrence. Data, however, are rather weak and contradictory. A meta-analysis evaluated the outcomes in 622 patients across seven trials comparing PV isolation (PVI) alone with PVI plus CFAE ablation. In conclusion, the addition of CFAE ablation to PVI resulted in only a modest increase in maintenance of sinus rhythm without antiarrhythmic drugs (relative risk [RR] 1.17, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03–1.33, p=0.019), and in subgroup analysis only patients with non-paroxysmal AF had any benefit (RR 1.35, 95% CI 1.04–1.75, p=0.022). Another more recent meta-analysis confirmed these results; CFAE ablation did not significantly reduce AF recurrence. In contrast to initial theories concerning the relation of CFAEs to AF, CFAEs seem to be non-specific electrophysiological manifestations which are unlikely to be important drivers of AF. The occasional success of CFAE ablation in persistent AF can probably be explained by coincidental ablation of re-entrant circuits and AF drivers. CFAE ablation has minimal effect on patient outcomes, but can increase procedure times and complication rates.

The influence of autonomic nervous system and ganglionated plexi on atrial fibrillation
The autonomic nervous system is likely to play a role in clinical AF. Ganglionated plexi (GP) are epicardial networks of autonomic nerves near the junctions between the PV and the left atrium (LA). Autonomic signals to the heart via GP can alter atrial refractory periods, increase the frequency of triggered atrial premature beats that can subsequently initiate AF, and increase the vulnerability of atrial tissue to the induction of AF. Animal studies have demonstrated that neuronal/autonomic blockade with drugs or GP ablation can prevent AF induction. As GP are located near the PV, it can be assumed that conventional PVI often coincidently eliminates these areas. It has been discussed that this could contribute to the ablation success by PVI.

The impact of atrial fibrillation mechanisms on mapping and ablation strategies
The described mechanisms of AF have influenced mapping and ablation strategies. For paroxysmal AF, antrum isolation of the PV is usually sufficient, while strategies for catheter ablation of persistent AF are still debated. Structure and mechanisms of AF are summarised in Figure 2. AF ablation strategies, underlying mechanisms of AF, and their importance for clinical practice are summarised in Table 1.

Factors influencing the outcome of catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation
Besides the choice of an appropriate ablation strategy, there are several factors and cardiac diseases that compromise especially the LA and adversely affect the outcome of catheter ablation for AF.
It has been known for a long time that ablation success significantly depends on LA size. Other cardiac conditions with a negative influence on ablation success are left ventricular dysfunction, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and mitral regurgitation.

Isolation of the pulmonary veins
The observation of Haïssaguerre et al. that ectopic beats from the PV can initiate AF led to the cornerstone of AF ablation according to current guidelines, namely PVI. As AF trigger sites are often located in the antrum of PV, PVI often involves encircling ipsilateral veins together with RF current as a wide area circumferential ablation. Figure 3 shows voltage maps of the LA before and after wide area circumferential ablation. Focal ablation inside the PV has been abandoned because of the risk of PV stenoses. Cryoballoon ablation and laser ablation are alternative energy sources to RF ablation. In the Fire and Ice Trial, cryoballoon ablation has been shown to be non-inferior to RF ablation. Recently, a meta-analysis revealed similar overall success rates at 12 months and comparable fluoroscopy and procedural times as well as long-term complications between patients undergoing cryoballoon and RF ablation for AF. The authors concluded that operators should choose AF ablation technology based on patient-specific features and preferences as well as operator experience and preference.

The strategy of PVI, however, is much more effective in paroxysmal AF than in persistent AF. Miyazaki et al. reported that about 70% of patients with paroxysmal AF were in sinus rhythm after a single PVI procedure. AF recurrence after PVI was mainly caused by PV antiarrhythmic drugs with a mean follow-up of 2.5 years after a single procedure. In persistent AF, efficacy of PVI has been limited, and in many patients PV reconnection was reported to be the cause of AF recurrence. Therefore, sustained PVI might not be required to prevent clinical recurrence in patients with paroxysmal AF. In paroxysmal AF triggers are probably limited to certain areas, and reconnection of PVs without such triggers may not cause AF recurrence. It was reported that approximately 70% of patients with paroxysmal AF originating from the superior vena cava remained in sinus rhythm after isolation of the vena cava without accompanying PVI after a follow-up period of 5 years. But as exact localisation of AF triggers is often difficult, empiric durable antrum isolation of all PV should be performed to minimise AF recurrence.

Table 1: Atrial fibrillation ablation strategies, underlying mechanisms, and role in clinical practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ablation strategy</th>
<th>Underlying AF mechanism</th>
<th>Role in clinical practice</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antral isolation of all PVs</td>
<td>Focal triggering and localised re-entry from the PVs</td>
<td>Primary ablation target in paroxysmal and persistent AF</td>
<td>26,27,79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablation of non-PV triggers</td>
<td>Focal triggers not located in the PVs</td>
<td>Persistent and sometimes paroxysmal AF; no routine technique because of challenging localisation of non-PV triggers</td>
<td>110-112,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear lesions</td>
<td>Multiple wavelet and leading circle models</td>
<td>No longer used routinely because of poor study results</td>
<td>18-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFAEs</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>No longer used routinely because of poor study results</td>
<td>32,34,80-114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablation of fibrotic areas</td>
<td>Atrial structural remodelling with fibrosis and scar formation</td>
<td>Promising strategy of substrate modification in persistent AF</td>
<td>105-129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablation of rotational activity</td>
<td>Functional re-entry due to rotors and spiral waves</td>
<td>Persistent AF; contradictory study results, large randomised studies needed</td>
<td>47,120-124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior wall isolation</td>
<td>Non-PV triggers and fibrotic areas at posterior wall of LA</td>
<td>Persistent AF; contradictory results, durable lesions probably crucial, further studies needed</td>
<td>112,120-123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablation of ganglionic plexi</td>
<td>Influence of the autonomic nervous system on AF</td>
<td>Persistent AF; only a few small studies conducted, further study results needed</td>
<td>125,127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF = atrial fibrillation; CFAE = complex fractionated atrial electrogram; LA = left atrium; PV = pulmonary vein.

In persistent AF, efficacy of PVI has been limited, and in many patients PV reconnection was reported to be the cause of AF recurrence. In persistent AF, there may be sites of AF initiation and maintenance in all four PVs, so that reconnection even in one PV may result in AF recurrence. Thus, permanent electrical isolation of all PVs is much more crucial in persistent than in paroxysmal AF. This can be achieved more effectively by using irrigated RF ablation catheters with a contact force (CF) sensor. Using a CF sensor during PVI was reported to correlate with a more positive clinical outcome. The TOCCATA (TOuCh+ for CATheter Ablation) study reported that an average CF of <10 g generally led to
AF recurrence, while maintenance of sinus rhythm could be achieved with an average CF of >20 g. Another study showed that PVI with a CF of 10–20 g significantly reduced AF recurrence in patients with paroxysmal AF. The Efficacy Study on Atrial Fibrillation Percutaneous Catheter Ablation with Contact Force Support (EFFICAS I) proposed a target CF of 20 g and a minimum force-time integral, an index to assess the lesion formation, of 400 g for each new lesion. To further improve the prediction of PVI success the lesion size index and the ablation index (AI) were defined. But universal consensus has not yet been reached on the values required to create a durable lesion. This may also be influenced by atrial wall thickness. A step towards a standardised protocol for durable PVI is the CLOSE protocol. It is a point-by-point approach aiming to enclose PVs with contiguous and optimised RF lesions. This protocol was based on a prior study from El Haddad et al. In this study, 42 CF-guided PVI procedures were analysed with the aim of identifying determinants of acute and late gaps after CF-guided PV encircling. Two independent predictors of durable segments were identified: AI and interlesion distance (ILD). Combining ILD_{max} ≤6 mm and AI_{max} ≥400 (posterior)/550 (anterior) was associated with a 93% specificity to predict durable segments. Based on these results, the CLOSE protocol involved creating a nephroid-shaped circle enclosing the veins. Ablation was performed where the atrial myocardium connects to the PV sleeve, and typically with a >2 cm distance between the ablated anterior and posterior carina. Lesions were closely spaced with an ILD_{max} ≤6 mm. Energy of 35 W was delivered to reach a minimal AI ≥400 at the posterior wall and ≥550 at the anterior wall. The AI target was reduced to 300 in case of signs of oesophageal injury (pain during local anaesthesia or temperature probe heating during general anaesthesia). The procedural endpoint was not PVI per se but a perfect circle leading invariably to PVI. This CLOSE protocol was evaluated in >400 patients with paroxysmal AF. A prospective, multi-center, randomised trial is required.

Possible atrial substrate modification strategies for persistent atrial fibrillation ablation

Whereas paroxysmal AF is predominantly driven by focal activity or local re-entry from the PV, AF-maintaining mechanisms are moving towards the atria and are increasingly based on re-entry substrates in persistent AF. This is caused by several types of atrial remodelling. That means that ablation strategies in persistent AF increasingly must address atrial substrates in addition to the PV. Ablation approach group. Accordingly, the Alster-Lost-AF trial (Ablation at St. Georg Hospital for Long-Standing Persistent Atrial Fibrillation) could not demonstrate an additional benefit of the stepwise approach aimed at AF termination over PVI alone for persistent and long-standing persistent AF. These results suggested that substrate modification aimed at AF termination is no suitable strategy to reduce AF recurrence in patients with persistent AF.

Linear lesions and complex fractionated atrial electrograms ablation

Former procedures for the ablation of persistent AF often included the creation of linear lesions in the LA and focal ablation of CFAEs in the left or in both atria. The rational for creating linear lesions was mainly imitating the maze procedure described by Cox with a percutaneous interventional approach. The procedure has not been standardised, but mostly a roof line and a mitral isthmus line were performed.

Haissaguerre et al. introduced the stepwise ablation approach consisting of PVI and CFAE and linear lesion formation aiming at AF termination in 2005. Figure 4 shows a schematic drawing of these ablation strategies. But subsequent large registries reported single-procedure success rates of only 35% and 48%, respectively, after 12 months follow-up. CHASE-AF (Randomized Catheter Ablation of Persistent Atrial Fibrillation Study), a single-centre randomised trial, investigated the stepwise approach aimed at AF termination versus PVI alone for the ablation of persistent AF. The investigators found no difference in arrhythmia recurrence, but a higher incidence of atrial tachycardia in the stepwise ablation approach group. Accordingly, the Alster-Lost-AF trial (Ablation at STAR AF II (Substrate and Trigger Ablation for Reduction of Atrial Fibrillation Trial Part II), a multicenter randomised trial, enrolled 589 patients with persistent AF and compared stand-alone PVI to PVI with additional ablation of CFAEs or linear lesions. Additional ablation did not reduce AF recurrence. After 18 months, AF recurrence occurred in 41% of patients with PVI alone, in 51% of patients with PVI plus CFAE, and in 54% in patients with PVI plus linear lesions. This well-recognised study influenced the ablation strategy for persistent AF, so that CFAE ablation and linear lesion formation are no longer used routinely by the majority of electrophysiologists. Despite its relatively low efficacy, PVI has become the primary ablation strategy for persistent AF. New strategies for substrate modification are urgently required to further improve the outcome after catheter ablation of persistent AF.

Ablation of fibrotic areas

Structural remodelling with atrial fibrosis and scarring is a well-recognised factor in the pathogenesis of persistent AF. Left atrial fibrosis and scarring can be detected by delayed enhancement magnetic resonance imaging (DE-MRI). The feasibility of atrial tissue fibrosis estimation by DE-MRI and its association with subsequent AF ablation outcome was examined in the DECAAF study. The conclusion was that among patients with AF undergoing catheter ablation, atrial tissue fibrosis estimated by DE-MRI was independently associated with likelihood of recurrent arrhythmia. Regions of enhancement on DE-MRI are well correlated with low-voltage regions on electroanatomical maps. Low-voltage can therefore be regarded as a surrogate marker for atrial fibrosis. Rolf et al. reported that additional ablation for...
low-voltage areas defined as <0.5 mV recorded during sinus rhythm
reduced AF recurrence after PVI.47 Methods for detection and ablation
of low-voltage areas differed. Yamaguchi et al. demonstrated the effect
of regional ablation of low-voltage areas;8,9 whereas Kottkamp et al.
proposed circumferential isolation, which they called box isolation of
fibrotic areas (BIFA).40 Randomised studies are required to confirm the
efficacy of this strategy and to compare different approaches.

Ablation of non-pulmonary vein triggers
Chang et al. reported that patients with non-PV triggers have a worse
outcome after AF ablation than those without non-PV triggers.41 Catheter
ablation of non-PV triggers in addition to PVI improved the outcome in
patients with paroxysmal AF.42 Santageli et al. found non-PV triggers in
11% of patients; they were located in anatomic regions like the inferior
mitral annulus, posterior LA, interatrial septum, particularly the fossa
ovalis/limbus region, crista terminalis, Eustachian ridge, coronary sinus,
and superior vena cava.43 Empiric ablation at these sites showed no
efficacy in persistent AF;44 but localisation of non-PV triggers is often
challenging. Limited ablation of PVs and documented non-PV triggers
improved the maintenance of sinus rhythm in patients with persistent
and long-standing persistent AF.45 These results indicate that localisation
and ablation of documented non-PV triggers improves the outcome
catheter ablation for persistent AF. So far, there is no standardised
protocol for provoking and localising non-PV triggers. In patients without
spontaneously firing non-PV triggers, they can, for example, be provoked
by an infusion of isoproterenol.46 But according to a European survey,
only a minority of operators routinely perform provocation and ablation
of non-PV triggers in patients with persistent AF.47

To estimate the risk of recurrent tachyarrhythmia after AF ablation and to
try to define the ablation endpoint, atrial vulnerability has been examined.
Atrial refractoriness and atrial conduction time defining a vulnerability
index represent latent vulnerability. Furthermore, the inducibility of
sustained AF can be assessed by programmed atrial stimulation. AF can
be induced, if atrial extrastimuli are delivered during the period of atrial
vulnerability, which approximately corresponds to the interval between
the atrial relative refractory period and the atrial effective refractory
period, also called the latency period. The atrial relative refractory
period is usually 10–30 msec greater than the atrial effective refractory
period, which mostly ranges from 150 to 260 msec. The validity of atrial
vulnerability in predicting AF recurrences and defining the ablation
endpoint, however, has been shown to be limited.48–50

Posterior wall isolation
The posterior wall of the LA is isolated as a possible site of non-PV
triggers or fibrotic areas.46,47 but outcome data of routine posterior wall
ablation remain contradictory. Incomplete and non-durable posterior
wall isolation may have contributed to the reduced efficacy of this
method. Bai et al. showed that, in patients with persistent AF, posterior
wall isolation in the LA, which was proven by repeat procedure, improved
the outcome after ablation.48 Another study suggested the usefulness
of routine adenosine challenge for dormant posterior wall conduction.49
In summary, recent data indicate that durable isolation of the left atrial
posterior wall in patients with persistent AF could improve the outcome
of catheter ablation.

Ablation for rotational activity
Rotors have been identified to be important for the maintenance of
AF.50 Thus, catheter ablation of rotors has recently been evaluated as a
possible new ablation strategy for persistent AF. Rotors can be identified
by endocardial mapping by the focal impulse and rotor modulation (FIRM)
computational mapping system. In the CONFIRM (Conventional Ablation
for Atrial Fibrillation with or without Focal Impulse and Rotor Modulation)
trial, conventional PVI was compared FIRM-guided ablation, followed
by conventional PVI. In a non-randomised manner, 92 patients with
drug-refractory paroxysmal (29%) or persistent (71%) AF underwent
ablation during which 64-pole basket catheters were used to map
right and left atria and construct AF activation maps using special
computational protocols. In patients with sinus rhythm AF was induced
before the mapping procedure. High frequency drivers of AF defined as
rotors (areas of spiral or rotational activation) and focal impulses (repetitive
focal activation without clear rotation) were identified and targeted with
focal ablation followed by conventional PVI. The primary endpoint was
acute termination of AF or slowing of the AF cycle length by ≥10%.
Ninety-seven percent of patients demonstrated rotors or focal impulses,
70% stable drivers with rotational activity, potentially consistent with
rotors. Rotors and focal impulses were more frequent in patients with
persistent than with paroxysmal AF; three quarters were found in the
LA, and one quarter found in the right atrium. FIRM ablation prior to
conventional PVI achieved 56% termination of AF. In the conventional
PVI group, AF termination was achieved in only 9% of patients. Freedom
from AF after a 3-month blanking period was significantly higher in the
FIRM-guided ablation group than in the conventional PVI group.
Shortcomings of this study were the non-randomised design, the
relatively small numbers of patients, and the short follow-up period.
The results of this study must be interpreted carefully because of these
limitations. Another point of criticism is the mapping with a 64-pole basket
catheter with a relatively low resolution. Besides, it might be difficult to
get all 64 electrodes in contact with the atrial wall simultaneously. A
further mapping technology for rotors is body surface potential mapping,
where electrodes from the body surface are projected on thoracic
computerised tomography scan images.51

Whereas early studies have reported that FIRM-guided ablation in
addition to PVI results in high rates of acute AF termination and
long-term freedom from recurrent atrial tachyarrhythmia,52,53 more recent
studies have failed to confirm these findings.54,55 It remains uncertain
if AF is truly the result of rotors or if it is secondary to other simpler
re-entrant mechanisms.56 Multicentre, prospective, randomised studies
are necessary to evaluate the efficacy of this new strategy.

Ablation of ganglionated plexi
Pappone et al. assessed for vagal response (bradycardia, hypotension,
heart block or asystole) during ablation and ablated at these sites. Most
common areas for vagal response were the junctions between PV
and LA, the sites where GP are located. Patients with complete vagal
denervation had significantly reduced risks of AF recurrence.46 In other
studies, high-frequency stimulation has been used to localise GP. Other
data, however, have suggested that an anatomic approach should be
preferred over high-frequency stimulation or identification of areas with
vagal responses.57

GP ablation has only been evaluated in a few small studies.50 Further
trials are needed before it can be adopted into standard practice.

Recent notions about atrial fibrillation mechanisms
and their therapeutic implications
A recent study by de Groot et al. supports the concept that during AF,
the endo- and epicardial layers of the atrial wall can be asynchronously
activated.58 This was evaluated using an endo-epicardial mapping
clamp introduced through an intraoperative incision of the right
atrium. If patients were in sinus rhythm, AF was induced. The presence of
dissociated layers of fibrillation waves can stabilise AF, because fibrillation waves dying out in one layer can be replaced by breakthroughs from the opposite side. This transmural conduction of fibrillation waves may explain the persistence of AF and unsuccessful catheter ablations.

Another explanation for unsuccessful ablation attempts could be recent reports about the spatio-temporal instability of AF. In previous studies, target-specific ablation of AF was proposed by directly ablating AF-driving sources exhibiting high-frequency, periodic activity.109 These driving sources were regarded as quite stable, so that the non-invasive location of sites with the highest dominant frequency (DF) by panoramic body surface mapping prior to the ablation was considered. Recent studies, however, revealed by means of high-density non-contact mapping that these DF sites were temporally unstable; although, reappearance of DF values occurred at times. It was concluded that targeting sites of peak DF from a single time frame is unlikely to be a reliable ablation strategy.110 Mapping results hinted at potentially non-random temporally periodic behaviour of highest DF sites. Another study evaluated the temporal behaviour and consistency of bipolar atrial electrograms (AEG) in human persistent AF.111 AEGs were recorded with segment lengths of 2.5, 5.0, and 8.0 s. AEGs with 8.0 s duration were divided into three consecutive segments of 2.5 s. Forty-three percent of the AEGs remained fractionated for all three of these segments, while nearly 30% were temporally unstable. This means that a period as short as 2.5 s for AEG recording, as used in the CARTO® system ( Biosense-Webster Inc., Irvine, CA, US) for CFAE mapping, might identify discordant atrial regions as targets for ablation depending on the timing of AEG collection. This could have contributed to the conflicting results of ablation for persistent AF. The detection of a certain spatio-temporal instability of fibrillation waves will have implications on global or panoramic versus regional mapping strategies for AF ablation.

In recent studies, contact as well as non-contact mapping has been used to detect areas of repetitive high-frequency activation, which possibly interact with high organisation as well as phase mapping. In one of these studies, the spatio-temporal association between DF and re-entrant phase activation areas was investigated in persistent AF by means of a non-contact array catheter.112 The phase maps presented multiple simultaneous PS points drifting over the LA. Regions of higher PS concentrations showed a degree of colocalisation with DF sites. In 61.8% of the time window, PS regions were found next to DF regions, in 36.8% there were overlaps of PS and DF regions. Sites with highest DF showed a greater degree of organisation at their core compared with their periphery. After PVI, PS incidence was reduced over the entire LA, supporting the assumption that PS sites are of some relevance for the atrial substrate. In another study, a novel real-time three-dimensional mapping technique was used to identify repetitive-regular activities (RRAs).113 Patients were randomised into a group undergoing ablation of RRAs followed by modified circumferential PV ablation, and another group assigned to modified circumferential PV ablation alone. Thirty-nine percent of RRAs were identified in the PVs, 61% in non-PV regions. RRa mapping-guided ablation resulted in higher arrhythmia termination rates compared with the conventional approach (61% versus 30%) and had an adjunctive benefit in terms of arrhythmia freedom at 1-year follow-up. Honarbaksh et al. looked at the identification of focal sources of AF.114 They analysed either basket or Penta Ray recordings using CARTOFINDER (Biosense-Webster, Diamond Bar, CA, US) and a defined region of interest algorithm (±2 consecutive focal activations with one electrode leading relative to its neighbours with QRS morphology on the unipolar electrogram). Drivers identified as regions of interest were associated with a greater temporal stability, a higher recurrence rate, and a higher number of AF terminations compared to those not identified as a region of interest. This suggests that these sources may be a viable therapeutic target in persistent AF.

All these findings should be confirmed in prospective randomised studies and may influence future ablation strategies for persistent AF.

Conclusion

Despite the clinical importance of AF, the understanding of pathophysiology and mechanisms of this arrhythmia remain incompletely understood. There have been various models proposed for AF mechanisms over time, influencing treatment strategies. Within the last 20 years, catheter ablation of AF has played an emerging role for rhythm control therapy of AF, and several ablation strategies have been derived from the different mechanisms of AF proposed. The primary target of AF ablation is the isolation of all PV, in both paroxysmal and persistent AF. In persistent AF, additional substrate modification is often necessary to prevent recurrence of AF in the structural remodelled area. Ablation of fibrotic areas in the LA is currently one of the most promising strategies for substrate modification, even though it has not yet been standardised. The first results of the ablation of rotational activity in both atria were impressive, but more recent studies in this field have been contradictory. Large randomised studies are needed for almost all the most modern proposed techniques, whilst historic techniques such as linear lesion formation and CFAE ablation have lost importance in clinical practice because of poor study results.

AF may have multiple, disparate mechanisms in different patients or even in the same patient at different times; therefore, adequate AF ablation, especially for persistent AF, will probably require a patient-tailored approach instead of a ‘one size fits all’ strategy.
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